

MODELING ACTIVITY DIAGRAM TO COLORED PETRI NET FOR
VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION BASED ON NON FUNCTIONAL
PARAMETERS

HOSSEIN NEMATZADEH BALAGATABI

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Computer Science)

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Systems
University Technology Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2008

To my beloved parents and family

Thanks for your immense love, your precious prayers, supports and all that you have done to me. May the blessing of God, shower upon you.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to everyone who has assisted me to make this project a success.

First of all I would like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Safaai Bin Deris for his guidance and assistance and Dr. Siti Zaiton for her discussion and guidance throughout the project. Without them, I would not be able to complete my project in time.

And would like to express my appreciation to those who were involved directly or indirectly supporting me in the completion of this project. I really owe them where my words alone are not worth what they have done for me.

ABSTRACT

UML is one of the modeling tools which gains wide area of usage in developing softwares. It consists of many diagrams which help developers of a software to produce better product. One of its diagrams is called Activity Diagram. It is a deliverable which is usually produced in the analysis phase of software. It consists of many important benefits, yet it has weaknesses too. One important thing which the current Activity Diagram is unable to do it is that it can not be validated and verified. The current Activity Diagram is a functional diagram and to extract non functional parameters from functional diagram is impossible but through modeling it to colored Petri net and by using the formalism of colored Petri net we may able to verify and validate the Activity Diagram. The ultimate outcome of this study would be handful information to manage the current mentioned Activity Diagram's weakness. Moreover a computer tool is provided called ADET to validate and verify the activity diagram.

ABSTRAK

UML ialah salah satu alat permodelan yang mendapat penggunaan meluas dalam pembangunan perisian-perisian. Ia mengandungi pelbagai rajah yang membantu pembangun perisian untuk menghasilkan produk yang lebih baik. Satu dari rajah-rajah berkenaan dikenali sebagai Rajah Aktiviti. Ianya bersifat penyampai yang biasanya dihasilkan di dalam fasa analisis bagi sesuatu perisian. Ia mengandungi banyak kelebihan disamping terdapat juga kelemahan. Satu perkara penting yang mana Rajah Aktiviti yang ada sekarang tidak mampu lakukan adalah ia tidak boleh disah dan ditentusahkan. Rajah Aktiviti yang ada kini adalah rajah fungsian dan adalah mustahil mengekstrak parameter-parameter bukan fungsian daripada rajah fungsian, akan tetapi dengan memodelkannya kepada *colored Petri net* serta menggunakan perumusan *colored Petri net* kita mampu untuk mengesah dan menentusahkan Rajah Aktiviti. Dapatan daripada kajian ini berupa maklumat berguna untuk mengurus kelemahan Rajah Aktiviti yang ada sebagaimana disebutkan tadi. Tambahan juga, peralatan computer yang dipanggil *ADET* disediakan untuk mengesah dan menentusahkan rajah aktiviti tersebut.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	An introduction to UML	1
1.2	An introduction to Petri Net and Colored Petri Net	3
1.3	Problem Background	4
1.4	Problem Statement	5
1.5	Project Aim	5
1.6	Objectives	6

1.7	Project Scope	6
1.8	Significance of Project	7
1.9	Organization of Report	7
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Modeling Language	9
2.3	Unified Modeling Language	11
2.3.1	General Description	11
2.3.2	Modeling	13
2.3.3	Diagrams	14
2.3.4	Activity Diagram	16
2.3.5	Concepts	19
2.3.6	Criticisms	20
2.4	Petri Nets in a Holistic View	21
2.4.1	Basic Mathematical Properties	23
2.4.2	Reachability	25
2.4.3	Boundedness	26
2.4.4	Liveness	28
2.4.5	Main Petri Net Types	29
2.4.6	Petri Net Capability	31
2.5	Colored Petri Net	33
2.5.1	Colored Petri Net Capability	36
2.6	Trends	37
2.7	Summary	37
3	METHODOLOGY	38
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	Phases of Research	39

3.2.1	Investigation of Formalization of Coloured Petri Net	40
3.2.2	Investigation of Nonfunctional Quality Attributes with CPN	40
3.2.3	Developing Transformation Algorithm	41
3.2.4	Developing Validation and Verification for AD	41
3.2.5	Developing the ADET	42
3.3	Case Study	42
3.4	System Requirement for ADET	43
3.5	Summary	43
4	VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION MODEL FOR ACTIVITY DIAGRAM USING COLOURED PETRI NET	44
4.1	Introduction	44
4.2	Investigation in formalism of colored Petri net	45
4.2.1	Definition of the coloured Petri net	45
4.2.2	Characteristics of coloured Petri net	48
4.3	Investigation of Non Functional Quality Attributes with CPN	50
4.3.1	An example for finding the quality value nondeterministically	53
4.3.2	Reliability	54
4.3.3	Security on Network	55
4.3.4	Security on memories and files	56
4.3.5	Time efficiency	57
4.3.6	Resource efficiency	58
4.4	Developing Transformation Algorithm	59
4.5	Verification of Activity Diagram	67
4.6	Validation of Activity Diagram	68
4.7	Summary	69

5 ADET DEVELOPMENT FOR VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION OF AD	70
5.1 Introduction	70
5.2 ADET Architecture	71
5.3 ADET Functionalities	73
5.4 Example of Operations	75
5.5 Summary	81
6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	82
6.1 Introduction	82
6.2 Selected Case Study	83
6.3 Mapping	86
6.4 Validating and Verifying	87
6.5 Discussion on the Result	89
6.6 Summary	91
7 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	92
7.1 Conclusions	92
7.2 Future Works	93
REFERENCES	94

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Components of Petri Nets	22
2.2	Summary of Early Researches over Different Concepts Using Petri Nets	31
2.3	Summary of Early Researches over Modeling UML Using Petri Nets	32
2.4	Summary of Early Researches over Different Concepts Using Colored Petri Nets	36
4.1	Mapping Table from Activity Diagram to CPN	65
6.1	Results of Activity Diagram Verification in its Third Iteration Using the Tool	89

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Modeling Schema Using Petri Net	3
2.1	Hierarchically View of UML Diagrams	14
2.2	Sample Activity Diagram	17
2.3	Sample Swimlane	18
2.4	Sample Petri Net	24
2.5	Corresponding Matrixes for Petri Net in Figure2.4	24
2.6	Reachability Graph of a Sample Petri Net	27
2.7	Example of Place-Transformation	28
2.8	Graphically Shown Six Main Petri Nets	30
3.1	The main phases of research	39
4.1	An Example of CPN	47
4.2	Determining Quality Values	52
4.3	An Example of Calculating Quality Values	53
4.4	Calculation of reliability	54
4.5	Security on Network	55
4.6	Security on Memories and Files	56
4.7	Time Efficiency	57
4.8	Resource Efficiency	58
4.9	Additional Shapes	60
4.10	CPN for Activity Diagram Figure 2.2	64
4.11	Flowcharts for Mapping from Activity Diagram to CPN	66

5.1	Architecture of the ADET	71
5.2	Logical architecture of ADET	72
5.3	The ADET interface	74
5.4	Sample coloured Petri net	75
5.5	First part of compiling	76
5.6	Second part of compiling	77
5.7	Third part of compiling	78
5.8	Validation	79
5.9	Verification	80
6.1	Relation of Process Sale with its Two Actors	84
6.2	Process Sale Activity Diagram	85
6.3	The mapped activity diagram	86
6.4	The mapped activity diagram	90

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD	-	Activity Diagram
ADET	-	Activity Diagram Evaluation Tool
BPMN	-	Business Process Modeling Language
CPN	-	Coloured Petri Net
ESL	-	Energy System Languages
FMC	-	Fundamental Modeling Concept
IDEF	-	ICAM Definition Language
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
MDA	-	Model Driven Architecture
MDD	-	Model Driven Development
MDE	-	Model Driven Architecture
MOF	-	Meta Object Facility
OMG	-	Object Management Group
OO	-	Object Oriented
PDA	-	Personal Digital Assistant
PN	-	Petri Net
POS	-	Point Of Sale
RUP	-	Rational Unified Process
SysML	-	System Modeling Languages
UML	-	Unified Modeling Languages
XMI	-	XML Metadata Interchange
XML	-	Extensible Modeling Language

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 An Introduction to UML

UML is a visual modeling language. It's a tool for developers for analyzing and designing an object oriented system. UML is a specification introduced by OMG. UML contains some rules and instructions which are commonly graphical. Unified Modeling language is a visual language for specifying, constructing and documenting the artifacts of systems. The word visual in the definition is a key point. The UML is the de facto standard diagramming notation for drawing and presenting pictures (with some text) related to software – primarily OO software. UML is known as one of the most common methods in software engineering. The Unified Modeling Language (UML) is a standard language for specifying, visualizing, constructing, and documenting the artifacts of software systems, as well as for business modeling and other non-software systems. The UML represents a collection of best engineering practices that have proven successful in the modeling of large and complex systems. The UML is a very important part of developing objects oriented software and the software development process.

The primary goals in the design of the UML were:

1. Provide users with a ready-to-use, expressive visual modeling language so they can develop and exchange meaningful models.
2. Provide extensibility and specialization mechanisms to extend the core concepts.
3. Be independent of particular programming languages and development processes.
4. Provide a formal basis for understanding the modeling language.
5. Encourage the growth of the OO tools market.
6. Support higher-level development concepts such as collaborations, frameworks, patterns and components.
7. Integrate best practices.

As the strategic value of software increases for many companies, the industry looks for techniques to automate the production of software and to improve quality and reduce cost and time-to-market. These techniques include component technology, visual programming, patterns and frameworks. Businesses also seek techniques to manage the complexity of systems as they increase in scope and scale. In particular, they recognize the need to solve recurring architectural problems, such as physical distribution, concurrency, replication, security, load balancing and fault tolerance. Additionally, the development for the World Wide Web, while making some things simpler, has exacerbated these architectural problems. The Unified Modeling Language (UML) was designed to respond to these needs.

1.2 An Introduction to Petri Net and Colored Petri Net

Petri Net is a tool for studying systems. Petri Net is mathematical and it is a modeling tool. Since we can not study and analyze many fields directly, it is better we analyze them indirectly through modeling. Model is a pattern that conveys important specifications of an under studying system. In most of modeling mathematic is used. System first is modeled to Petri Net, and then the model will be analyzed. The results of analysis lead us to a useful system in other word; the model converts a complicated system to a simple system. This concept is depicted in figure 1.1.




Figure 1.1: Modeling Schema Using Petri Net

An extension of Petri net is called *colored Petri net* which is more powerful than Petri net since it can distinguish the tokens. It is more practical and it has more usage than PN. It is developed for systems in which communication, synchronization and resource sharing play an important role CP-nets combine the strengths of ordinary Petri nets with the strengths of a high-level programming language. Petri nets provide the primitives for process interaction, while the programming language provides the primitives for the definition of data types and the manipulations of data values. CP-nets has an intuitive, graphical representation which is appealing to human beings.

1.3 Problem Background

Nowadays, UML is a powerful and advanced methodology for analysis the complicated systems that many of software engineers and designers use to produce softwares. Despite of this, the methodology has a basic shortcoming that is lacking of a tool to verify the correctness of logic function of the diagram. In fact, this model is not still converted to a formal and verified model. Although UML system sequence diagrams are useful and used widely in analyzing, but data in these diagrams are changeless and because of lacking of dynamism, we cannot implement the diagram. Implementation of diagrams is so important that we can verify the correctness of the diagram. On the other hand, one of important problems of analyzing phase in software engineering is to verify all analyzed things before going to the design phase because starting of design phase before verifying analyze phase is a big risk in big projects. So, one of common methodologies in analyze phase is UML that have various diagrams. It does not have the ability to be tested or verified. Many researches have been done to convert UML to Petri Nets. MuDer Jeng and WeiZhao Lu have a methodology that converts UML models to Petri nets for modeling semiconductor manufacturing systems [1]. Some other tried to convert activity diagram to CPNs [2]. But still no efforts have

been done to convert UMLmodels, especially UML diagrams, to a model that can accept fuzzy inputs in order to test and verify the correctness of the diagrams.

1.4 Problem Statement

In this project a new technique will be proposed using coloured Petri Net. Through the technique we can validate and verify the Activity Diagram based on nonfunctional parameters. First it is tried to convert the activity Diagram to coloured Petri net. And then validation and verification process started. The basic question of the research is: *Given an Activity Diagram, how we can validate and verify the Activity Diagram based on non functional parameters?*

1.5 Project Aim

The aim of the project is:

To provide a modeling facility for validation and verification of UML activity diagrams using colored Petri net.

1.6 Objectives

This project follows four objectives:

- a. To investigate the formalism of Coloured Petri Net for determining quality values.
- b. To model an Activity Diagram with CPN to find out Activity Diagrams non functional parameters.
- c. To develop the verification and validation process of Activity Diagram via CPN.
- d. To develop a verification and validation tool for Activity Diagram called ADET.

1.7 Project Scope

This project is focusing on investigating CPN parameters and to find a way to formalize the activity diagram in UML. The scopes of this project are as follow:

- a) Initially, using Coloured Petri net to investigate the quality attributes of a software system.
- b) To Verify and validate Activity Diagram via CPN.
- c) To provide a tool that validates and verifies the activity diagram.

1.8 Significance of Project

Nowadays it is one of the important things in modeling to know how we can find out non functional parameters of the system. This is not achieved if you have powerful modeling tool that can formalize the software based system. On the other hand we can use the findings from the project to formalize some diagrams in UML like the activity diagram which is straightforward to be converted to the CPN as a formalized modeling tool. On the other hand the tool is used to formalize software systems to find quality attributes.

1.9 Organization of Reports

In the following chapters literature review, methodology, validation and verification model for activity diagram using coloured Petri net, tool development, results and discussions and conclusion and future work will be discussed respectively. Chapter 2 in which literature review will be discussed deeply will be divided in to two 2 parts, first there will be a powerful introduction of keywords and important definitions, second there are related works and backgrounds. Chapter 3 discusses how to achieve our objectives in the project. And chapter 4 concentrates on validation and verification process. Chapter 5 focuses on the architectural aspect of the tool and depicts its operation step by step. Chapter 6 calculates the results. Finally in chapter 7 concludes with the conclusion and discusses the future work.

REFERENCES

1. M. Jeng and W. Lu “Extension of UML and its conversion to Petri nets for semiconductor manufacturing modeling” IEEE, Volume 3, 11-15 May 2002, Page(s):3175 – 3180
2. H.Motameni, A.Movaghar and B.Kardel “Verifying and Evaluating UML Activity by Converting to CPN” " Proc of SYNASC'05, Romania, Sep 2005
3. M. Uzam A.H. Jones and N. Ajlouni “Conversion of Petri Net Controllers for Manufacturing Systems into Ladder Logic Diagrams” IEEE, 1966
4. K.Jensen “Coloured Petri Nets”, Computer science Department Aarhus University, Denmark, 1995
5. R.Braek, TIME ,TIme Electronic Textbook v 4.0 © SINTEF – Modified:1999-07-16
6. IEEE Std 1320.1-1998. IEEE Standard for Functional Modeling Language—Syntax and Semantics for IDEF0. New York, IEEE, 1998
7. M.Hause “The SYSml modeling language” Fifth European Systems Engineering Conference, 18-20 September 2006
8. M.T.Brown “A picture is worth a thousand words: energy systems language and simulation”, Ecological Modelling, 178: 83-100, 2004
9. Stephen A. White, Business Process Modeling Notation (BPMN), IBM Corporation, Version 1.0 - May 3, 2004
10. A. Knöpfel, B. Gröne and P.Tabeling “Fundamental Modeling Concepts: Effective Communication of IT Systems “, Germany, 2008

11. X.He et al. “A metamodel for the notation of graphical modeling languages”, in: Computer Software and Applications Conference. COMPSAC 2007 - Vol. 1. 31st Annual International, Volume 1, Issue , 24-27 July 2007 Page(s):219 – 224
12. Atkinson, Th.Kuhne “model driven development: a metamodeling foundation” IEEE software, published by the IEEE society 2003
13. D.C. Schmidt “model driven engineering”, published by IEEE society 2006
14. P.Mohagheghi, J.Aagedal “Evaluating Quality in Model-Driven Engineering”, International Workshop on Modeling in Software Engineering (MISE'07), IEEE, 2007
15. D. Gracanin, Sh.A. Bohnert, M. Hinchey “Towards a Model-Driven Architecture for Autonomic Systems”, Proceedings of the 11th IEEE International Conference and Workshop on the Engineering of Computer-Based Systems (ECBS'04), 2004
16. A.E. Bell ; “Death by UML Fever” , the Boeing Company, Vol. 2, No. 1 - March 2004
17. J.W. Reeves, “Code as Design: Three Essays ”, developer. The Independent Magazine for Software Professionals, 2005
18. D.Jörg and J. Gabriel "What Is a Petri Net? Informal Answers for the Informed Reader",Hartmut Ehrig et al. (Eds.): Unifying Petri Nets, LNCS 2128, pp. 1-25, 2001
19. P.J. Haas “Stochastic Petri nets for modelling and simulation ”, IBM Almaden Research Center, Proceedings of the 2004 Winter, Simulation Conference, 2004
20. T.Gu, P. A. Bahri, G. Cai” Timed Petri net based formulation and an algorithm for The optimal scheduling of batch plants”, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci, 2003, Vol. 13, No. 4, 527–536
21. W.M. Zuberek” Timed Petri Net Models of Cluster Tools”, IEEE 2000
22. W. lu "Fuzzy UML",Seminararbeit,Sommersemester, 2005
23. Z.Ma "Fuzzy Information Modeling With the Uml". Idea, 2005
24. Z.M. Ma "Extending UML For Fuzzy Information Modeling In Object_Oriented Database ", theories and practices,idea group publishing, 2004
25. B.Bostan-Korpeoglu, A. Yazici” A fuzzy Petri net model for intelligent databases”, Data & Knowledge Engineering, Elsevier, 2006

26. R. M Colomb” Metamodeling and Model Driven Architecture” version 9 January 2008, Faculty of Computer Science and Information Systems, University of Technology Malaysia, 2008
27. The Object Primer 3rd Edition” Agile Model Driven Development with UML 2 Cambridge University Press, 2004 ISBN#: 0-521-54018-6
28. G.Booch” The Unified Modeling Language User Guide”, Addison Wesley ISBN 0-201-57168-4, 2005
29. K.Jensen ”Coloured Petri Nets: Basic Concepts, Analysis Methods and Practical Use.”Volume 1 (Monographs in Theoretical Computer Science. An EATCS Series) Springer ISBN 3-540-60943-1, 1999
30. P.J.Haas ”Stochastic Petri Nets”, Springer, ISBN 0-387-95445-7 , 2002
31. A.Drathen “Compact Modeling of Manufacturing Systems with Petri nets”, IEEE, 2007
32. A.Abellard, M.Moncef Ben Khelifa, and M.Bouchouicha “A human computer interface modelled with petri net for disabled transportation “ , IEEE, 2005
33. J.Luo, X.Yang , Ch.Huang, “Modeling of integrated resources in network education systems based on petri net ” , IEEE, 2004
34. Ferrarini & luigi, 2004; “Automatic synthesis of multiple place resources models with Petri net”, Proceeding of the 2004 American Control Conference Boston. Massachusetts June 30 -July 2, 2004
35. Tricas & Ezpeleta, 2003; “Some results on siphon computation for deadlock preventio in resource allocation systems modeled with petri net ”, IEEE, 2003
36. Hanzalek, 1998; “Algorithm modeling with Petri nets-comparison with data dependence graphs”, IEEE, 1998
37. Juanole & faure, “On gateway for internetworking through ISDN: architecture and formal modeling with Petri nets”, IEEE, 1989
38. Bernardi & donatelli & merseguer “From UML Sequence Diagrams and Statecharts to analysable Petri netModels ”, WOSP '02, July 24-26, 2002 Rome, Italy ACM ISBN 1-1-58113-563-7 02/07, 2002
39. Baresi & Pezze “Improving UML with petri nets”, Electronic Notes in Theoretical Science 44 No. 4 Published by Elsevier Science, 2001

40. Saldhana & shatz “UML Diagrams to Object Petri Net Models: An Approach for Modeling and Analysis”, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science University of Illinois at Chicago, Seke, 2000
41. H.Kang , X.Yang , Sinmiao Yuan “Modeling and Verification of Web Services Composition based on CPN”, IEEE, IFIP International Conference On Network and Parallel Computing – Workshops, 2007
42. C.H Yang, J.X Liu, H.H. Chen, X.S. Luo “Using CPN Modeling and Simulating the Task-Oriented C2 Organization”, Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics, Hong Kong, 19-22, August, IEEE, 2007
43. P. Lei, W. Lei , Y. Yalan, Y. Fengqi , Y. Hai” CPN Modeling and Analysis of HMIPv6”, IEEE, Proceedings of the 2007 International Conference on Integration Technology March 20 - 24, Shenzhen, China, 2007
44. A. Kovatcs, E. Nemeth, K. M. Hangos” Modeling and Optimization of Runway Traffic Flow Using Coloured Petri Nets”, IEEE, International Conference on Control and Automation (ICCA2005) June 27-29, Budapest, Hungary, 2005
45. T.E. Lee , J.S. Wu , C.H. Lin “A colored Petri-net model for load-transfer in MRT power systems”, IEEE June 23-26, Bologna, Italy, 2003
46. Y. Wang, Sh.Yao, Y. Zhao, M. Zhou “CPN Modeling and Analysis of L2TP”, IEEE, 2001
47. J. M. Fernandes, S. Tjel, J. B.Jørgensen, Ó. Ribeiro” Designing Tool Support for Translating Use Cases and UML 2.0 Sequence Diagrams into a Coloured Petri Net”, IEEE, Sixth International Workshop on Scenarios and State Machines (SCESM'07), 2007
48. Aorim, L, Maciel, P, Nogueira, M, Barreto, R. Tavares, E” A Methodology for Mapping Live Sequence Chart to Coloured Petri Net ”, Federal University of Pernambuco, 2005
49. C.H. Lin “Distribution network reconfiguration for load balancing with a coloured Petri net algorithm ”, IEEE, Proceedba &ne no. 20030 IB, 2003
50. Sh.Yao, M. Zhou,J. Zen “State Space Analysis on an AI Problem with CPN Model ” Proceedings of ICSP2000, 2000

51. Sh.Yao, J.Billington, M.Zhou “WebMUX Protocol State Analysis With CPN Modelling”,IEEE, 2000
52. C.Pin Lin, Y.Pin Lin, M.Jeng “Design of Intelligent Manufacturing Systems by Using UML and Petri Net”, IEEE, International Conference on Networking, Sensing & Control Taiwi, Taiwan, March 21-23, 2004
53. Zhou, M.C.; DiCesare, F. “A Petri net design method for automated manufacturing systems with shared resources”, IEEE, 1990
54. S. Castano , P. Samarati , C.Villa ” Verifying System Security Using Petri Nets ”, IEEE, 1993
55. S.J.H. Yang, Jeffrey J.P. Tsai, Ch. Chen“Fuzzy Rule Base Systems Verification Using High-Level Petri Nets”, Published by the IEEE Computer Society, 2003
56. I.S. Lima, A. Perkusicht ,J.C. A. de Figueiredol “An Interactive Petri Net Tool for Analysis and Simulation of Complex Systems”, IEEE, 1996
57. S. Distefano, A. Puliafito, M. Scarpa “GridSPN: a Grid-based non Markovian Petri Nets Tool”, Proceedings of the 14th IEEE International Workshops on Enabling Technologies: Infrastructure for Collaborative Enterprise (WETICE’05), 2005
58. J.Billington, G.R. Wheeler , M.C. Wilbur-ham, “PROTEAN: A High-level Petri Net Tool for the Specification and Verification of Communication Protocols” IEEE transactions on software engineering, vol. 14, No. 3, March 1988
59. H.Chen, Amodeo.L, F. Chu, Labadi, K.” Modeling and performance evaluation of supply chains using batch deterministic and stochastic Petri nets” IEEE transactions on automation science and engineering, vol. 2, No. 2, April 2005
60. G.K. Janssens, A.Caris, K. Ramaekers ” Time Petri nets as an evaluation tool for handling travel time uncertainty in vehicle routing solutions” Expert Systems with Applications, In Press, Uncorrected Proof, Available online 17 July 2008
61. Osama S. Youness, W.S. El-Kilani, W. F. Abd El-Wahed” A behavior and delay equivalent petri net model for performance evaluation of communication protocols ” Computer Communications, Volume 31, Issue 10, 25 June 2008, Pages 2210-2230;
62. C.larman “applying UML and patterns” an introduction to object oriented Analysis and design and the unified process, second edition, 1998

63. K.Fukuzawa, M. Saeki''Evaluating Software Architectures by Coloured Petri Nets,
SEKE' Fourteenth International Conference on Software Engineering and
Knowledge engineering, 2002